

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,223	10/31/2003		Warren L. Starkebaum	P-11296.00	1509
27581	7590	10/17/2005		EXAM	INER
MEDTRONIC, INC.				TOY, ALEX B	
710 MEDTR MS-LC340	ONIC PA	RKWAYNE		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPO	LIS, MN	55432-5604	•	3739	

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>\bigwedge</i>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/698,223	STARKEBAUM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alex B. Toy	3739				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the mail of the period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will be set to be supported by the office later than three months after the mail of the period for reply will be supported by the office later than three months after the mail of the period for reply will be supported by the office later than three months after the mail of the period for reply will be supported by the office later than three months after the maximum statutory period for reply will be supported by the office later than three months after the maximum statutory period for reply will be supported by the office later than three months after the maximum statutory period for reply will be supported by the office later than three months after the maximum statutory period for reply will be supported by the office later than three months after the maximum statutory period for reply will be supported by the office later than three months after the maximum statutory period for reply will be supported by the office later than three months after the maximum statutory period for reply will be supported by the office later than three months after the maximum statutory period for reply will be supported by the office later than three months after the maximum statutory period for the province statutory period for the provin	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. Sply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31	October 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ TI	(a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.					
· 	·/—					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.	•				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement:					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to b	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
 Certified copies of the priority docume 						
2. Certified copies of the priority docume						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure		roceived				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies flot	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ 		s)/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:					

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-17, drawn to a method for reducing stomach acid secretion, classified in class 128, subclass 898.
- II. Claims 18-30, drawn to an ablation system, classified in class 606, subclass 41.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, such as ablating tissue other than stomach tissue – e.g. uterine or colon tissue.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application also contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I, the embodiment of the ablation system shown in Fig. 1.

Species II, the embodiment of the ablation system shown in Fig. 2.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,223

Art Unit: 3739

Species III, the embodiment of the ablation system shown in Fig. 3.

Species IV, the embodiment of the ablation system shown in Fig. 4.

Species V, the embodiment of the ablation system shown in Fig. 5.

Species VI, the embodiment of the ablation system shown in Fig. 6.

Species VII, the embodiment of the ablation system shown in Fig. 7.

Species VIII, the embodiment of the ablation system shown in Fig. 8.

Species IX, the embodiment of the ablation system shown in Fig. 9.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are held to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Application/Control Number: 10/698,223

Art Unit: 3739

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alex B. Toy whose telephone number is (571) 272-1953. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C.M. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,223 Page 5

Art Unit: 3739

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AT AT 10/13/05

MICHAEL PEFFLEY
PRIMARY EXAMINER